

STUPP-96-143

February, 1996

Consistency of matter field equations in Ashtekar formulation

MOTOMU TSUDA AND TAKESHI SHIRAFUJI

Physics Department, Saitama University

Urawa, Saitama 338, Japan

Abstract

The chiral Lagrangian including more than two spin-3/2 fields becomes complex in general when the self-dual connection satisfies its equation of motion, and the imaginary part of the chiral Lagrangian gives the additional equation for spin-3/2 fields which gives rise to the inconsistency. This inconsistency can be removed by taking the tetrad to be complex and defining the total Lagrangian which is the sum of the chiral Lagrangian and its complex conjugate. It is possible to establish the right (left)-handed supersymmetry in its total Lagrangian as in the case of the chiral Lagrangian. We also comment on the canonical formulation of the total Lagrangian.

The Ashtekar reformulation of canonical gravity [1]-[4] can be derived from the chiral Lagrangian in which a tetrad and a complex self-dual connection are regarded as independent variables. Although the chiral Lagrangian is complex, its imaginary part vanishes in the source-free case when the tetrad is assumed to be real and the equation of self-dual connection is satisfied: The chiral Lagrangian then reduces to the Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian. If the matter terms of integer spin fields exist, the chiral Lagrangian also becomes real as in the source-free case, because the Lagrangian of integer spin fields does not contain the self-dual connection. Moreover, it has been shown for spin-1/2 fields [4, 5] and simple supergravity [3, 6, 7] that the imaginary part of the chiral Lagrangian vanishes (up to a total divergence term). But in general, the chiral Lagrangian becomes complex for more than two spin-3/2 fields, and its imaginary part gives the additional equation for spin-3/2 fields which gives rise to the inconsistency [8]. We suggest in this letter one of the possible ways to evade the inconsistency of matter field equations.

We consider a spacetime manifold with a metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ constructed from a tetrad e_μ^i via $g_{\mu\nu} = e_\mu^i e_\nu^j \eta_{ij}$, and denote a self-dual connection by $A_{ij\mu}^{(+)} = A_{[ij]\mu}^{(+)}$ which satisfy

$$A_{ij\mu}^{(+)*} := \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ij}{}^{kl} A_{kl\mu}^{(+)} = i A_{ij\mu}^{(+)}, \quad (1)$$

where the $*$ operation means the duality operation in Lorentz indices.¹ In order to see the inconsistency of matter field equations, let us take N -(Majorana) Rarita-Schwinger fields ψ_μ ($:= \psi_\mu^I$ with I running from 1 to N) as example. The chiral Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}^{(+)}$ including N -(Majorana) Rarita-Schwinger fields is

$$\mathcal{L}^{(+)} = \mathcal{L}_G^{(+)} + \mathcal{L}_{RS}^{(+)}. \quad (2)$$

Here $\mathcal{L}_G^{(+)}$ is the chiral gravitational Lagrangian density constructed from the tetrad and the self-dual connection:

$$\mathcal{L}_G^{(+)} = -\frac{i}{2} e \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} e_\mu^i e_\nu^j R_{ij\rho\sigma}^{(+)}, \quad (3)$$

¹ Greek letters $\mu, \nu, \dots$ are space-time indices, and Latin letters $i, j, \dots$ are local Lorentz indices. We denote the Minkowski metric by $\eta_{ij} = \text{diag}(-1, +1, +1, +1)$. The totally antisymmetric tensor ϵ_{ijkl} is normalized as $\epsilon_{0123} = +1$. The antisymmetrization of a tensor is denoted by $A_{[ij]} := (1/2)(A_{ij} - A_{ji})$.

where the unit with $8\pi G = c = 1$ is used, e denotes $\det(e_\mu^i)$ and the curvature of self-dual connection $R^{(+ij)}_{\mu\nu}$ is

$$R^{(+ij)}_{\mu\nu} := 2(\partial_{[\mu} A^{(+ij)}_{\nu]} + A^{(+i)}_{k[\mu} A^{(+kj)}_{\nu]}). \quad (4)$$

On the other hand, $\mathcal{L}_{RS}^{(+)}$ is the chiral Lagrangian density of N -(Majorana) Rarita-Schwinger fields, which is obtained by assuming that the fields ψ_μ are minimally coupled to gravity and that the Lagrangian is described by using only the self-dual connection:

$$\mathcal{L}_{RS}^{(+)} = -e \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \bar{\psi}_{R\mu} \gamma_\rho D_\sigma^{(+)} \psi_{R\nu}, \quad (5)$$

where $\psi_R = (1/2)(1 + \gamma_5)\psi$ and $D_\mu^{(+)}$ denotes the covariant derivative with respect to $A_{ij\mu}^{(+)}$:

$$D_\mu^{(+)} := \partial_\mu + \frac{i}{2} A_{ij\mu}^{(+)} S^{ij} \quad (6)$$

with S_{ij} standing for the Lorentz generator.

When the self-dual connection satisfies its equation of motion, the imaginary part of $\mathcal{L}^{(+)}$ vanishes for $N = 1$, while it does not vanish for $N \geq 2$. To see this, vary the $\mathcal{L}^{(+)}$ of (2) with respect to $A_{ij\mu}^{(+)}$ to obtain

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} D_\nu^{(+)} H^{(+ij)}_{\rho\sigma} = \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \left(e_\nu^{[i} \bar{\psi}_\rho \gamma^{j]} \psi_\sigma - \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{ij}_{kl} e_\nu^k \bar{\psi}_\rho \gamma^l \psi_\sigma \right) \quad (7)$$

with $H^{(+ij)}_{\mu\nu}$ being defined by $H^{(+ij)}_{\mu\nu} := e_{[\mu}^i e_{\nu]}^j - (i/2) \epsilon^{ij}_{kl} e_\mu^k e_\nu^l$, and $D_\mu^{(+)} e_\nu^i = \partial_\mu e_\nu^i + A^{(+i)}_{j\mu} e_\nu^j$. Equation (7) can be solved with respect to $A_{ij\mu}^{(+)}$ as

$$A_{ij\mu}^{(+)} = A_{ij\mu}^{(+)}(e) + K_{ij\mu}^{(+)}, \quad (8)$$

where $A_{ij\mu}^{(+)}(e)$ is the self-dual part of the Ricci rotation coefficients $A_{ij\mu}(e)$, while $K_{ij\mu}^{(+)}$ is that of $K_{ij\mu}$ given by

$$K_{ij\mu} := \frac{i}{4} (e_i^\rho e_j^\sigma e_\mu^k \bar{\psi}_\rho \gamma_k \psi_\sigma + e_i^\rho \bar{\psi}_\rho \gamma_j \psi_\mu - e_j^\rho \bar{\psi}_\rho \gamma_i \psi_\mu). \quad (9)$$

If the tetrad is real, substituting the solution of (8) back into the $\mathcal{L}^{(+)}$ of (2) gives the imaginary part of $\mathcal{L}^{(+)}$ as follows:

$$\text{Im} \mathcal{L}^{(+)} = -\frac{1}{32} \sum_{I,J} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (\bar{\psi}_\mu^I \gamma_i \psi_\nu^I) (\bar{\psi}_\rho^J \gamma^i \psi_\sigma^J), \quad (10)$$

where we denote the indices I, J explicitly. Now the $\text{Im}\mathcal{L}^{(+)}$ of (10) does not vanish except for $N = 1$. This non-vanishing $\text{Im}\mathcal{L}^{(+)}$ yields the additional equation $\partial\text{Im}\mathcal{L}^{(+)}/\partial\psi_\mu^I = 0$ in general, besides the Einstein equation and the Rarita-Schwinger equation obtained by taking variation of $\text{Re}\mathcal{L}^{(+)}$ with respect to the tetrad and the (Majorana) Rarita-Schwinger fields. Therefore the difficulty of overdetermination for ψ_μ^I arises and the matter field equations generally become inconsistent.²

In order to remove this inconsistency of matter field equations, one could take $\mathcal{L}^{(+)}$ to be analytic for all fields; namely, the complex tetrad, the self-dual connection, the independent complex spinor fields ψ_R and $\bar{\psi}_R$. If we follow this procedure and take the tetrad to be complex in the Lagrangian of spin-1/2 fields, we have to take ψ and $\bar{\psi}$ to be independent in order to keep the matter field equations consistent. But ψ and $\bar{\psi}$ cannot be independent in QED or QCD, because if they are independent, the electric current, for example, will become complex.

So we adopt the following procedure as a simplest way to eliminate the term of (10): Namely, we add the complex conjugate of the chiral Lagrangian density, $\overline{\mathcal{L}^{(+)}}$, to the Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}^{(+)}$, and define a total Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}^{tot}$ by

$$\mathcal{L}^{tot} := \mathcal{L}^{(+)} + \overline{\mathcal{L}^{(+)}}. \quad (11)$$

Here we take the tetrad to be complex, because if the tetrad is real, $\mathcal{L}^{tot}$ reduces to the Lagrangian for ordinary Einstein gravity. The basic variables in $\mathcal{L}^{(+)}$ are the complex tetrad, the self-dual connection, the spinor fields ψ and its Dirac conjugate $\bar{\psi}$, while the basic variables in the $\mathcal{L}^{tot}$ of (11) are the set of $Q = (e, A^{(+)}, \psi)$ and their complex conjugate $\bar{Q}$.

From the definition of (11), the total gravitational Lagrangian density, $\mathcal{L}_G^{tot}$, is written by

$$\mathcal{L}_G^{tot} := -\frac{i}{2}e \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} e_\mu^i e_\nu^j R_{ij\rho\sigma}^{(+)} + \text{c.c.}, \quad (12)$$

where “c.c.” means “the complex conjugate of the preceding term”. It can be shown that $\mathcal{L}_G^{tot}$ describes the sum of complex general relativity and its complex conjugate when the self-dual connection (and its complex conjugate) satisfies its equations of motion. In the case of N -(Majorana) Rarita-Schwinger fields coupling, since the term of (10) does not contain the tetrad, it cancels with its complex conjugate in $\mathcal{L}^{tot}$.

² This inconsistency cannot be removed only by taking the tetrad to be complex in $\mathcal{L}^{(+)}$, because all terms in $\mathcal{L}^{(+)}$ (up to the term of (10)) merely becomes to be complex, and so the additional equations of ψ_μ^I also appear as in the case of the real tetrad.

Accordingly, the inconsistency of matter field equations disappears. Furthermore we can show that $\mathcal{L}^{tot}$ describes the sum of complex Einstein gravity with four-fermion contact terms and its complex conjugate, after the equation of self-dual connection (and its complex conjugate) is solved.

The right (left)-handed supersymmetry in the chiral Lagrangian for $N = 1$ supergravity has been established [3, 6, 7]. In the same way, it is possible to establish right (left)-handed supersymmetry in $\mathcal{L}^{tot}$ for $N = 1$, if we now take $\psi_{R\mu}$ and $\bar{\psi}_{R\mu}$ in (5) to be independent and define the total Lagrangian density of (Majorana) Rarita-Schwinger fields by $\mathcal{L}_{RS}^{tot} := \mathcal{L}_{RS}^{(+)} + \overline{\mathcal{L}_{RS}^{(+)}}$; namely

$$\mathcal{L}_{RS}^{tot} = -e \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \bar{\psi}_{R\mu} \gamma_\rho D_\sigma^{(+)} \psi_{R\nu} + \text{c.c.} \quad (13)$$

with $\bar{\psi}_{R\mu}$ and $\psi_{R\mu}$ being independent. Note that in special relativistic limit, the $\mathcal{L}_{RS}^{tot}$ of (13) becomes

$$L_{RS}^{tot} = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \bar{\psi}_\mu \gamma_5 \gamma_\rho \partial_\sigma \psi_\nu, \quad (14)$$

which can be diagonalized as

$$L_{RS}^{tot} = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (\bar{\psi}_\mu^1 \gamma_5 \gamma_\rho \partial_\sigma \psi_\nu^1 - \bar{\psi}_\mu^2 \gamma_5 \gamma_\rho \partial_\sigma \psi_\nu^2), \quad (15)$$

with $\psi_\mu^1 := (1/2)(\psi_\mu + \tilde{\psi}_\mu)$ and $\psi_\mu^2 := (1/2)(\psi_\mu - \tilde{\psi}_\mu)$. The minus sign in (15) means the appearance of negative energy states.

The total Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}^{tot}$, which is the sum of (12) and (13), is invariant under the right-handed supersymmetry transformation generated by anticommuting spinor parameter α ,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_R \psi_{R\mu} &= 2D_\mu^{(+)} \alpha_R & \delta_R \bar{\psi}_{R\mu} &= 0, \\ \delta_R \psi_{L\mu} &= 0 & \delta_R \bar{\psi}_{L\mu} &= 2\tilde{D}_\mu^{(-)} \tilde{\alpha}_L, \\ \delta_R e_\mu^i &= -i\bar{\psi}_{R\mu} \gamma^i \alpha_R & \delta_R \bar{e}_\mu^i &= i\tilde{\alpha}_L \gamma^i \psi_{L\mu}, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

and the left-handed supersymmetry transformation,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_L \psi_{R\mu} &= 0 & \delta_L \bar{\psi}_{R\mu} &= 2\tilde{D}_\mu^{(-)} \tilde{\alpha}_R, \\ \delta_L \psi_{L\mu} &= 2\overline{D}_\mu^{(+)} \alpha_L & \delta_L \bar{\psi}_{L\mu} &= 0, \\ \delta_L e_\mu^i &= i\tilde{\alpha}_R \gamma^i \psi_{R\mu} & \delta_L \bar{e}_\mu^i &= -i\bar{\psi}_{L\mu} \gamma^i \alpha_L, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

when we use the equation of self-dual connection (and its complex conjugate). Here $\tilde{D}_\mu^{(-)}$ denotes the covariant derivative with respect to antiself-dual connection, $\tilde{A}_{ij\mu}^{(-)}$, and we assume that $\tilde{A}_{ij\mu}^{(-)}$ is the solution derived from the Lagrangian, $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{(-)} + \overline{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{(-)}}$ with $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{(-)}$ being

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{(-)} = \frac{i}{2} e^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} e_\mu^i e_\nu^j \tilde{R}_{ij\rho\sigma}^{(-)} + e^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \bar{\psi}_{L\mu} \gamma_\rho \tilde{D}_\sigma^{(-)} \psi_{L\nu}, \quad (18)$$

where $\tilde{R}^{(-)ij}{}_{\mu\nu}$ is the curvature of antiself-dual connection. The commutator algebra of the above supersymmetry on the complex tetrad, for example, is easily calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} [\delta_{R1}, \delta_{R2}] e_\mu^i &= 0 = [\delta_{L1}, \delta_{L2}] e_\mu^i, \\ [\delta_{R1}, \delta_{L2}] e_\mu^i &= 2i D_\mu (\bar{\alpha}_{2R} \gamma^i \alpha_{1R}). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Therefore, we have

$$[\delta_1, \delta_2] e_\mu^i = 2i D_\mu (\bar{\alpha}_{2R} \gamma^i \alpha_{1R} + \bar{\alpha}_{2L} \gamma^i \tilde{\alpha}_{1L}), \quad (20)$$

where $\delta := \delta_R + \delta_L$. If the tetrad is real and $\psi_{R\mu} = \tilde{\psi}_{R\mu}$, the algebra of (20) coincides with that of $N = 1$ supergravity [9]. The commutator algebra on (Majorana) Rarita-Schwinger fields is now being calculated.

Finally we comment on the canonical formulation of $\mathcal{L}^{tot}$ in the source-free case. Although the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}^{tot}$ involves the complex conjugate connection $\overline{A_{ij\mu}^{(+)}}$, the canonical formulation of $\mathcal{L}^{tot}$ in the source-free case can be expressed by using real canonical variables in a simple form. We assume spacetime manifold to be topologically $\Sigma \times R$, for some space-like submanifold Σ . Performing the Legendre transform, the $\mathcal{L}_G^{tot}$ of (12) can be written in canonical form:

$$\mathcal{L}_G^{tot} = -\text{Tr}(\pi^{(+a)} \dot{A}_a^{(+)}) - \text{Tr}(A_0^{(+)} \mathcal{G}^{(+)}) - N^a \mathcal{H}_a^{(+)} - N \mathcal{H}^{(+)} + \text{c.c.}, \quad (21)$$

where the first four terms of right hand side of (21) just comes from $\mathcal{L}^{(+)}$. Here N^a is the complex shift vector, N is a density of weight -1 representing the complex lapse, and

$$\mathcal{G}^{(+ij)} := D_a^{(+)} \pi^{(+ij)a} = \partial_a \pi^{(+ij)a} + [A_a^{(+)}, \pi^{(+a)}]^{ij} \approx 0 \quad (22)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_a^{(+)} := -\text{Tr}(\pi^{(+b)} R_{ab}^{(+)}) \approx 0 \quad (23)$$

$$\mathcal{H}^{(+)} := \text{Tr}(\pi^{(+a)} \pi^{(+b)} R_{ab}^{(+)}) \approx 0 \quad (24)$$

are the Gauss, vector and Hamiltonian constraint, respectively, with $\pi^{(+)}{}^{ija}$ the densitized momenta conjugate to $A_{ija}^{(+)}$.³ The trace in (21) to (24) is defined as

$$\text{Tr}(XY) := X_i^j Y_j^k \quad \text{Tr}(XYZ) := X_i^j Y_j^k Z_k^l. \quad (25)$$

If we define real canonical variables A_{ija} and π^{ija} from the $A_{ija}^{(+)}$ and its conjugate momenta $\pi^{(+)}{}^{ija}$ as

$$A_{ija} := A_{ija}^{(+)} + \overline{A_{ija}^{(+)}}, \quad (26)$$

$$\pi^{ija} := \pi^{(+)}{}^{ija} + \overline{\pi^{(+)}{}^{ija}}, \quad (27)$$

and split the N^a and N into the real and imaginary part as $N_1^a + iN_2^a$ and $N_1 + iN_2$, then the $\mathcal{L}_G^{tot}$ of (21) becomes

$$\mathcal{L}_G^{tot} = -\text{Tr}(\pi^a \dot{A}_a) - \text{Tr}(A_0 \mathcal{G}) - N_1^a \mathcal{H}_a - N_2^a \mathcal{H}_a^* - N_1 \mathcal{H} - N_2 \mathcal{H}^*, \quad (28)$$

where

$$\mathcal{G}^{ij} := \mathcal{G}^{(+)}{}^{ij} + \text{c.c.} = D_a \pi^{ija} = \partial_a \pi^{ija} + [A_a, \pi^a]^{ij} \approx 0 \quad (29)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_a := \mathcal{H}_a^{(+)} + \text{c.c.} = -\text{Tr}(\pi^b R_{ab}) \approx 0 \quad (30)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_a^* := i(\mathcal{H}_a^{(+)} - \text{c.c.}) = -\text{Tr}(\pi^b R_{ab}^*) \approx 0 \quad (31)$$

$$\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}^{(+)} + \text{c.c.} = \text{Tr}(\pi^a \pi^b R_{ab}) \approx 0 \quad (32)$$

$$\mathcal{H}^* := i(\mathcal{H}^{(+)} - \text{c.c.}) = \text{Tr}(\pi^a \pi^b R_{ab}^*) \approx 0 \quad (33)$$

with the $*$ operation meaning the duality operation in Lorentz indices. These constraints of (29) to (33) form a first-class set, because the constraints of (22) to (24) are first-class. The canonical formulation of (28) is just the $SO(3, 1; R)$ theory which is derived from a viewpoint of generalization of the $SO(3; C)$ Ashtekar formulation [10].

In the source-free case, the canonical formulation of $\mathcal{L}^{tot}$ is equivalent to that of $\mathcal{L}^{(+)}$. When (Majorana) Rarita-Schwinger fields are coupled, however, the canonical formulation of $\mathcal{L}^{tot}$ may possibly differ from that of $\mathcal{L}^{(+)}$, because the term of (10) has canceled with its complex conjugate in $\mathcal{L}^{tot}$. The canonical formulation of $\mathcal{L}^{tot}$ including (Majorana) Rarita-Schwinger fields is under study.

We would like to thank the members of Physics Department at Saitama University for discussions and encouragement.

³ Latin letters $a, b, \dots$ are spatial indices on Σ .

References

- [1] Ashtekar A 1986 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57** 2244; 1987 *Phys. Rev.* **D36** 1587
- [2] Jacobson T and Smolin L 1987 *Phys. Lett.* **196B** 39; 1988 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **5** 583
- [3] Ashtekar A 1988 *New Perspectives in Canonical Gravity* (Naples: Bibliopolis)
- [4] Ashtekar A 1991 *Lectures on Non-Perturbative Canonical Gravity* (Singapore: World Scientific)
- [5] Ashtekar A, Romano J D and Tate R S 1989 *Phys. Rev.* **D40** 2572
- [6] Jacobson T 1988 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **5** 923
- [7] Capovilla R, Dell J, Jacobson T and Mason L 1991 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **8** 41
- [8] Tsuda M, Shirafuji T and Xie H 1995 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **12** 3067
- [9] Freedman D Z, van Nieuwenhuizen P and Ferrara S 1976 *Phys. Rev.* **D13** 3214
Freedman D Z and van Nieuwenhuizen P 1976 *Phys. Rev.* **D14** 912
- [10] Peldán P 1994 *Nucl. Phys.* **B430** 460